# Comparison of Formal Semantics of Reo Connectors: Coloring Models and Constraint Automata

Sung-Shik Jongmans<sup>1</sup> Farhad Arbab<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica (CWI), the Netherlands <sup>2</sup>Leiden Institute for Advanced Computer Science (LIACS), the Netherlands

9 June 2011

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- 1 Reo
- **2** Coloring Models
- **3** Constraint Automata
- **4** DATA-AWARE COLORING MODELS
- 5 FROM DATA-AWARE CMS TO CA
- 6 FROM CA TO DATA-AWARE CMS
- **7** Concluding Remarks

IN A NUTSHELL...

- Introduced in the early 2000s [Arb04].
- Coordinators are called *connectors*.
  - Connectors are directed graphs.
  - Data items flow through edges (or *channels*).
  - Some nodes allow I/O-operations from components:
    - write(d,n) send d at n
    - d:=take(n) receive d at n
- Tool support: modeling, animating, simulating, verifying.

#### Connectors & composition

- Primitives: connectors without internal nodes.
  - Open ended collection.
  - Semantics freely definable:
    - Synchronous or asynchronous;
    - Lossless or lossy;
    - Buffered or non-buffered;
    - ...



- Composites: connectors obtained through composition.
  - Connectors have compositional semantics.
  - Example: *A o LossyFIFO* = *LossySync* × *FIFO*

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

#### BEHAVIORAL FORMALISMS

There exist many!

- Coalgebraic models based on streams [AR03].
- Operational models:
  - Constraint automata [BSAR06];
  - Intentional automata [Cos10];
  - Guarded automata [BCS09].
- Coloring models [CCA07]:
  - Two colors;
  - Three colors.

• ...

### BEHAVIORAL FORMALISMS

There exist many!

- Coalgebraic models based on streams [AR03].
- Operational models:
  - Constraint automata [BSAR06];
  - Intentional automata [Cos10];
  - Guarded automata [BCS09].
- Coloring models [CCA07]:
  - Two colors;
  - Three colors.

• ....

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Folklore

CMs with two colors and CA are equally expressive.

- Yes, but...
- Our contribution:
  - We extend CMs with *data-awareness*.
  - Transformation operator: from data-aware CMs to CA.
  - Transformation operator: from CA to data-aware CMs.
  - Properties / proofs: correctness, compositionality, inverse.



#### Colorings

Let N be a set of nodes.

A coloring  $c: N \to C$ olor over N...

- ...maps nodes to colors.
- ...describes a single computation step.



COLORING TABLE MAPS Let N be a set of nodes and  $\Lambda$  a set of indexes. A coloring table map  $S : \Lambda \to 2^{\{N \to Color\}}$  over  $[N, \Lambda]$ ...

- ...maps indexes to coloring tables (= sets of colorings).
- ...describes all computation steps in a state.



NEXT FUNCTIONS Let S be a CTM over  $[N, \Lambda]$ . A next function  $\eta : \Lambda \times 2^{\{N \to Color\}} \to \Lambda$  over S...

- ...maps [indexes, coloring]-pairs to indexes.
- ...describes how the state of a connector evolves over time.



INITIALIZED NEXT FUNCTIONS

Let  $\eta$  be a next function over S with S defined over  $[N, \Lambda]$ . An initialized next function  $\epsilon$  over S...

- ...associates a next function with an index.
- ...describes the initial state.

## Constraint Automata

▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨー つくで



#### Automata

Let N be a set of nodes and G a set of *data constraints*.

A constraint automaton  $\alpha$  over [N, G] is a tuple  $\langle Q, R, q_0 \rangle$  with:

- Q a set of states;
- $R \subseteq Q \times 2^N \times G \times Q$  a transition relation;
- $q_0 \in Q$  an initial state.

## DATA-AWARE COLORING MODELS

#### MOTIVATION

- CA are data-aware; CMs are not.
- Transformation issue: what to do with constraints?
- One-to-one versus many-to-one.

### DATA-AWARE COLORING MODELS

▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨー つくで



#### EXTENSION

- Associate each coloring with a data constraint.
- Constraint coloring  $\mathbf{c} = \langle c, g \rangle$  over [N, G] with:
  - $c: N \rightarrow Color$  a coloring;
  - $g \in G$  a data constraint.
- (Update other constituents of CMs accordingly.)

 $\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \langle \Lambda, R, \lambda_0 \rangle$ with:  $R = \{ \langle \lambda, F, g, \eta(\lambda, \langle c, g \rangle) \rangle | \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \langle c, g \rangle \in \mathbf{S}(\lambda) \text{ and } F = \{ n \in N \mid c(n) = ---- \} \}$ 

DEFINITION OF  $\mathbb{L}$ Let  $\epsilon = \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \lambda_0 \rangle$  be an initialized (constraint) next function over **S** with **S** defined over  $[N, G, \Lambda]$ .

- Set of states is the set of indexes  $\Lambda$  over which  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is defined.
- Transition relation includes for each  $\langle \lambda, \langle c, g \rangle \rangle \mapsto \lambda' \in \eta$ :
  - a transition from  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda'$ ,
  - labeled with g as its data constraint and
  - with the set of nodes to which *c* assigns the flow color.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

 $\mathbb{L}(\epsilon) = \langle \mathbf{\Lambda}, R, \lambda_0 \rangle$ 

with:  $R = \{ \langle \lambda, F, g, \eta(\lambda, \langle c, g \rangle) \rangle | \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \langle c, g \rangle \in \mathbf{S}(\lambda) \text{ and } F = \{ n \in N | c(n) = ---- \} \}$ 

#### Definition of $\mathbb{L}$

Let  $\epsilon = \langle \eta, \lambda_0 \rangle$  be an initialized (constraint) next function over **S** with **S** defined over  $[N, G, \Lambda]$ .

- Set of states is the set of indexes  $\Lambda$  over which  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is defined.
- Transition relation includes for each  $\langle \lambda, \langle c, g \rangle \rangle \mapsto \lambda' \in \eta$ :
  - a transition from  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda'$ ,
  - labeled with g as its data constraint and
  - with the set of nodes to which *c* assigns the flow color.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

 $\mathbb{L}(\epsilon) = \langle \Lambda, R, \lambda_0 \rangle$ 

with:  $R = \{ \langle \lambda, F, g, \eta(\lambda, \langle c, g \rangle) \rangle | \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \langle c, g \rangle \in \mathbf{S}(\lambda) \text{ and } F = \{ n \in N | c(n) = ---- \} \}$ 

#### Definition of $\mathbb{L}$

Let  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \lambda_0 \rangle$  be an initialized (constraint) next function over **S** with **S** defined over  $[N, G, \Lambda]$ .

- Set of states is the set of indexes  $\Lambda$  over which  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is defined.
- Transition relation includes for each  $\langle \lambda, \langle c, g \rangle \rangle \mapsto \lambda' \in \eta$ :
  - a transition from  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda'$ ,
  - labeled with g as its data constraint and
  - with the set of nodes to which *c* assigns the flow color.

▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨー つくで

Initial state is λ<sub>0</sub>.

 $\mathbb{L}(\epsilon) = \langle \Lambda, R, \lambda_0 \rangle$ with:  $R = \{ \langle \lambda, F, g, \eta(\lambda, \langle c, g \rangle) \rangle | \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \langle c, g \rangle \in \mathbf{S}(\lambda) \text{ and } F = \{ n \in N | c(n) = ---- \} \}$ 

#### Definition of $\mathbb{L}$

Let  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \lambda_0 \rangle$  be an initialized (constraint) next function over **S** with **S** defined over  $[N, G, \Lambda]$ .

- Set of states is the set of indexes  $\Lambda$  over which  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is defined.
- Transition relation includes for each  $\langle \lambda, \langle c, g \rangle \rangle \mapsto \lambda' \in \eta$ :
  - a transition from  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda'$ ,
  - labeled with g as its data constraint and
  - with the set of nodes to which *c* assigns the flow color.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

 $\mathbb{L}(\epsilon) = \langle \Lambda, R, \lambda_0 \rangle$ 

with:  $R = \{ \langle \lambda, F, g, \eta(\lambda, \langle c, g \rangle) \rangle | \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \langle c, g \rangle \in S(\lambda) \text{ and } F = \{ n \in N | c(n) = ---- \} \}$ 

#### Definition of $\mathbb{L}$

Let  $\epsilon = \langle \eta, \lambda_0 \rangle$  be an initialized (constraint) next function over **S** with **S** defined over  $[N, G, \Lambda]$ .

- Set of states is the set of indexes  $\Lambda$  over which  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is defined.
- Transition relation includes for each  $\langle \lambda, \langle c, g \rangle \rangle \mapsto \lambda' \in \eta$ :

#### • a transition from $\lambda$ to $\lambda'$ ,

- labeled with g as its data constraint and
- with the set of nodes to which *c* assigns the flow color.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

 $\mathbb{L}(\epsilon) = \langle \Lambda, R, \lambda_0 \rangle$ 

with:  $R = \{ \langle \lambda, F, g, \eta(\lambda, \langle c, g \rangle) \rangle | \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \langle c, g \rangle \in \mathbf{S}(\lambda) \text{ and } F = \{ n \in N | c(n) = ---- \} \}$ 

#### Definition of $\mathbb{L}$

Let  $\epsilon = \langle \eta, \lambda_0 \rangle$  be an initialized (constraint) next function over **S** with **S** defined over  $[N, G, \Lambda]$ .

- Set of states is the set of indexes  $\Lambda$  over which  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is defined.
- Transition relation includes for each  $\langle \lambda, \langle c, g \rangle \rangle \mapsto \lambda' \in \eta$ :
  - a transition from  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda'$ ,
  - labeled with g as its data constraint and
  - with the set of nodes to which *c* assigns the flow color.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

 $\mathbb{L}(\epsilon) = \langle \Lambda, R, \lambda_0 \rangle$ 

with:  $R = \{ \langle \lambda, F, g, \eta(\lambda, \langle c, g \rangle) \rangle | \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \langle c, g \rangle \in \mathbf{S}(\lambda) \text{ and } F = \{ n \in N | c(n) = ---- \} \}$ 

#### Definition of $\mathbb{L}$

Let  $\epsilon = \langle \eta, \lambda_0 \rangle$  be an initialized (constraint) next function over **S** with **S** defined over  $[N, G, \Lambda]$ .

- Set of states is the set of indexes  $\Lambda$  over which  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is defined.
- Transition relation includes for each  $\langle \lambda, \langle c, g \rangle \rangle \mapsto \lambda' \in \eta$ :
  - a transition from  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda'$ ,
  - labeled with g as its data constraint and
  - with the set of nodes to which *c* assigns the flow color.

 $\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \langle \Lambda, R, \lambda_0 \rangle$ with:  $R = \{ \langle \lambda, F, g, \eta(\lambda, \langle c, g \rangle) \rangle | \lambda \in \Lambda \text{ and } \langle c, g \rangle \in \mathbf{S}(\lambda) \text{ and } F = \{ n \in N \mid c(n) = ---- \} \}$ 

DEFINITION OF  $\mathbb{L}$ Let  $\epsilon = \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \lambda_0 \rangle$  be an initialized (constraint) next function over **S** with **S** defined over  $[N, G, \Lambda]$ .

- Set of states is the set of indexes  $\Lambda$  over which  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is defined.
- Transition relation includes for each  $\langle \lambda, \langle c, g \rangle \rangle \mapsto \lambda' \in \eta$ :
  - a transition from  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda'$ ,
  - labeled with g as its data constraint and
  - with the set of nodes to which *c* assigns the flow color.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ つ ・



<□▶ <□▶ < □▶ < □▶ < □▶ = □ - つへで



#### Correctness (informal)

We wish to show that a data-aware CM  $\epsilon$  and its  $\mathbb{L}$ -transformation are equivalent: for each mapping in  $\epsilon$ , there exists a corresponding transition in  $\mathbb{L}(\epsilon)$  with respect to...

- the change of state;
- the data items that flow;
- the nodes that fire.

#### Compositionality (informal)

We wish to show that it does not matter whether we first compose two coloring models and then apply  $\mathbb{L}$  or first apply  $\mathbb{L}$  to two coloring models and then compose them.

### FROM CA TO DATA-AWARE CMS

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha) = \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{q}_0 \rangle$$
with:  $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \{ \langle \boldsymbol{q}, \mathbf{c} \rangle \mapsto \boldsymbol{q}' \mid \langle \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{q}' \rangle \in R \}$ 
and:  $\mathbf{c} = \langle \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{g} \rangle$ 
and:  $\boldsymbol{c} = \left\{ n \mapsto \kappa \mid n \in N \text{ and } \kappa = \left( \underbrace{-\cdots}_{\text{otherwise}} \right) \right\}$ 

DEFINITION OF  $\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}}$ Let  $\alpha = \langle Q, R, q_0 \rangle$  be a *deterministic* CA over [N, G].

- Paper:  $\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}}$  is correct and compositional.
- Inverse:
  - Lemma:  $\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{L}(\epsilon)) = \epsilon$ .
  - Lemma:  $\overline{\mathbb{L}}(\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}}(\alpha)) = \alpha$
  - (The latter cannot hold if we consider data-unaware CMs!)

# Concluding Remarks

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Possible applications

- Verification of *context-sensitivity* with Vereofy [BBKK09].
  - 1 Transform 3CM to 2CM [JKA11].
  - 2 Transform 2CM to CA with  $\mathbb{L}$ .
  - 3 Verify!
- Animation of counterexamples.
  - Vereofy can visualize counterexamples *if* there is a CM.
  - Problem: this is not always the case...
  - Solution: use  $\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}}$  to generate unavailable CMs.

# Concluding Remarks

#### SUMMARY

- We extended coloring models with data-awareness.
- We defined transformation operators.
- The operators are correct, compositional, and inverse.

#### FUTURE WORK

- Implement operators.
- Implement proposed extensions to Vereofy.
- Investigate other semantic models of Reo.

## References I

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

#### [AR03] Farhad Arbab and Jan Rutten. A coinductive calculus of component connectors. In Marin Wirsing, Dirk Pattinson, and Rolf Hennicker, editors, *Recent Trends in Algebraic Development Techniques*, volume 2755 of *LNCS*, pages 34–55. 2003.

#### [Arb04]

Farhad Arbab.

Reo: A channel-based coordination model for component composition. Mathematical Structures in Computer Science,

14:329-366, 2004.

# References II

[BBKK09] Christel Baier, Tobias Blechmann, Joachim Klein, and Sascha Klüppelholz.

A uniform framework for modeling and verifying components and connectors.

In John Field and Vasco Vasconcelos, editors, *Coordination Models and Languages*, volume 5521 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 247–267. 2009.

 [BCS09] Marcello M. Bonsangue, Dave Clarke, and Alexandra Silva.
 Automata for context-dependent connectors.
 In John Field and Vasco Vasconcelos, editors, *Coordination Models and Languages*, volume 5521 of *LNCS*, pages 184–203. 2009.

# References III

 [BSAR06] Christel Baier, Marjan Sirjani, Farhad Arbab, and Jan Rutten.
 Modeling component connectors in Reo by constraint automata.
 Science of Computer Programming, 61(2):75–113, 2006.

[CCA07] Dave Clarke, David Costa, and Farhad Arbab. Connector colouring I: Synchronisation and context dependency. Science of Computer Programming, 66(3):205–225, 2007.

[Cos10] David Costa. Formal Models for Component Connectors. PhD thesis, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 2010.

### References IV

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

 [JKA11] Sung-Shik Jongmans, Christian Krause, and Farhad Arbab.
 Encoding context-sensitivity in Reo into non-context-sensitive semantic models.
 In Wolfgang de Meuter and Catalin Roman, editors, Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Coordination Models and Languages, volume 6721 of LNCS. Springer, 2011.
 To appear.